

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ----X OUMOU BAH, AS THE ADMINISTRATOR THE ESTATE OF 13-cv-6690(PKC)(KNF) MOHAMED BAH, Plaintiff, - against-VERDICT FORM DET. EDWIN MATEO, et al., Defendants. These questions shall be answered in accordance with the Court's instructions on the law. Question 1: Has plaintiff proven that one or more of the following defendants unlawfully used excessive force on Mr. Bah? No No No Yes \_\_\_\_\_Yes Edwin Mateo Andrew Kress Michael Green Yes \_\_\_\_\_ Joseph McCormack Yes If you answered "No" as to all defendants, proceed to Question 3. If you answered "Yes" as to any defendant in response to Question 1 proceed to Question 2. Question 2: Has plaintiff proven that Lieutenant Licitra failed to supervise the unlawful use of excessive force by any of the defendants who you found unlawfully used excessive force in response to Question 1? No \_\_\_\_\_

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: \_//////

Regardless of your answers to the prior Questions, answer Question 3.

# Question

Question 3:		
Has plaintiff proven that one Bah's apartment?	or more of the fol	lowing defendants unlawfully entered Mr.
Brian Stanton	Yes	No /
Esmeralda Santana	Yes	No /
Edwin Mateo	Yes	No i//
Andrew Kress	Yes	No /
Michael Green	Yes	No //
Joseph McCormack	Yes	No
Regardless of your answers to the p	orior Questions, a	nswer Question 4.
Question 4:		
Has plaintiff proven that one Mr. Bah in apprehension of i	or more of the following or more of the follow	lowing defendants intentionally placed or offensive contact without justification?
Brian Stanton	Yes	No
Esmeralda Santana	Yes	No
Edwin Mateo	Yes	No
Andrew Kress	Yes	No
Michael Green	Yes	No
Joseph McCormack	Yes	No
finished Sign and date the verdict	sheet and return	ons 1, 3 and 4, your deliberations are it to the Deputy Marshal. If you 3 or 4, please follow the instructions
Answer this Question 5 only if you in response to Question 3.	answered "Yes" (	ns to Officer Stanton or Officer Santana
Question 5:		•
Has plaintiff proven that Lie entry into Mr. Bah's apartme	outenant Gallitelli ent by Officer Sta	unlawfully failed to supervise the unlawfulnton or Officer Santana?
Yes	No	

Answer Question 6 only if you answered "Yes" as to Detective Mateo, Officer Kress, Officer Green, or Sergeant McCormack in response to Question 3.

### Question 6:

Has plaintiff proven that Lieutenant Licitra unlawfully failed to supervise the unlawful entry into Mr. Bah's apartment by Detective Mateo, Officer Kress, Officer Green, or Sergeant McCormack?

Yes No	
--------	--

Answer Question 7 only if you answered "Yes" as to any defendant in response to Question 1.

### Question 7:

(a) Has plaintiff proven that Mr. Bah's estate suffered compensatory damages as a result of being subjected to the unlawful use of excessive force?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

(b) If you answered "Yes" to Question 7(a), state the dollar amount of any actual compensatory damages plaintiff has proven as a result of the unlawful use of excessive force:

\$ 2,215,000

(c) If you answered "No" to Question 7(a), state the amount of nominal damages, not to exceed one dollar, to be awarded to the plaintiff on her unlawful use of excessive force claim:

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Answer Question 8 only if you answered "Yes" as to any defendant in response to Question 3.

Question 8:
(a) Has plaintiff proven that Mr. Bah's estate suffered compensatory damages as a result of an unlawful entry into Mr. Bah's apartment?
Yes No
(b) If you answered "Yes," to Question 8(a), state the dollar amount of any actual compensatory damages plaintiff has proven on her unlawful entry claim:
\$
(c) If you answered "No" to Question 8(a), state the amount of nominal damages to be awarded to the plaintiff on the unlawful entry not to exceed one dollar:
\$
Answer Question 9 only if you answered "Yes" as to any defendant in response to Question 4.  Question 9:
(a) Has plaintiff proven that Mr. Bah's estate suffered compensatory damages as a result of the intentional placing of Mr. Bah in apprehension of imminent harmful or offensive contact without justification?
Yes No
(b) If you answered "Yes," to Question 9(a), state the dollar amount of any actual compensatory damages plaintiff has proven on her apprehension of imminent harmful of offensive contact without justification claim:
\$
(c) If you answered "No" to Question 9(a), state the amount of nominal damages to be awarded to the plaintiff on the apprehension of imminent harmful or offensive contact without justification claim, not to exceed one dollar:
di d

Question 10:			
(a) Has the plaintiff proven t	hat any of the defen	ndants acted maliciously or wantonly,	and
if so, that plaintiff is entitled	to an award of puni	nve damages?	
Brian Stanton	Yes	No	
Esmeralda Santana	Yes	No V	
Robert Gallitelli	Yes	No V	
Edwin Mateo	Yes	No	
Andrew Kress	Yes	No	
Michael Green	Yes	No	
Joseph McCormack	Yes	No/_	
Michael Licitra	Yes	No	
(b) If you answered "Yes" as	s to any of the defen	idants, state the dollar amount of any	
(b) If you answered "Yes" as punitive damages that you av	s to any of the defen ward as to that defer	idants, state the dollar amount of any indant:	
(b) If you answered "Yes" as punitive damages that you av	ward as to that defer	ndant:	
punitive damages that you av Brian Stanton Esmeralda Santana	ward as to that defer  \$	ndant: 	
punitive damages that you av Brian Stanton Esmeralda Santana Robert Gallitelli	ward as to that defer  \$ \$ \$	ndant:  	
punitive damages that you av Brian Stanton Esmeralda Santana Robert Gallitelli Edwin Mateo	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$	ndant:  	
punitive damages that you av Brian Stanton Esmeralda Santana Robert Gallitelli Edwin Mateo Andrew Kress	sssss	ndant:   	
punitive damages that you av Brian Stanton Esmeralda Santana Robert Gallitelli Edwin Mateo Andrew Kress Michael Green	ssssssss	ndant:	
punitive damages that you av Brian Stanton Esmeralda Santana Robert Gallitelli Edwin Mateo Andrew Kress Michael Green Joseph McCormack	ssssssss	ndant:	
punitive damages that you ave Brian Stanton Esmeralda Santana Robert Gallitelli Edwin Mateo Andrew Kress Michael Green Joseph McCormack Michael Licitra	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	ndant:	
punitive damages that you ave Brian Stanton Esmeralda Santana Robert Gallitelli Edwin Mateo Andrew Kress Michael Green Joseph McCormack Michael Licitra	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	ndant:	se to
punitive damages that you ave Brian Stanton Esmeralda Santana Robert Gallitelli Edwin Mateo Andrew Kress Michael Green Joseph McCormack Michael Licitra	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	ndant:	se to

No \_\_\_\_\_

Yes v

#### Questions 12:

Did defendants prove that any of the following defendants reasonably believed, even if
mistakenly, that Mr. Bah was threatening, stabbing, or slashing with a knife at one or
more officers in the moments before discharging his firearm?

Edwin Mateo Andrew Kress Michael Green	Yes Yes Yes	No No No

### Question 13:

Did defendants prove that Mr. Bah was moving towards Detective Mateo w	vith a knife in
his hand when Detective Mateo discharged his firearm?	

Yes	No	
-----	----	--

### Question 14:

Did defendants prove that Sergeant McCormack reasonably believed, even if mistakenly, that Mr. Bah was threatening one or more of the ESU officers with a knife when he deployed his Taser?

	3.7
Yes	No

### Question 15:

Did defendants prove that Officer Stanton reasonably believed, even if mistakenly, that Mr. Bah was in urgent need of medical assistance when Officer Stanton put his foot in the door threshold?

Yes	$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$	No

## Question 16:

Did defendants prove that Officer Santana reasonably believed, even if mistakenly, that Mr. Bah was in urgent need of medical assistance when Officer Santana pushed Mr. Bah's door with her foot?

Yes	$\nu$	No

### Question 17:

Did defendants prove that the any of the ESU officers reasonably believed, even if mistakenly, that Mr. Bah was in urgent need of medical assistance when Mr. Bah became silent inside the apartment?

Edwin Mateo	Yes 🗸	No
Andrew Kress	Yes	No
Michael Green	Yes	No
Joseph McCormack	Yes	No
Michael Licitra	Yes/	No

### Question 18:

Did defendants prove that any of the ESU Officer defendants reasonably believed, even if mistakenly, that Mr. Bah was in urgent need of medical assistance when the pole camera was inserted into Mr. Bah's apartment?

Edwin Mateo Andrew Kress	Yes Yes	No
Michael Green	Yes	No
Joseph McCormack	Yes	No
Michael Licitra	Yes	No

#### Question 19:

Did defendants prove that any of the ESU Officer defendants reasonably believed, even if mistakenly, that opening the door to insert the pole camera was necessary to assess whether it was safe to enter the apartment in order to render aid to Mr. Bah?

Your deliberations are finished. The Foreperson should sign and date the verdict sheet and return it to the Deputy Marshal.

Foreperson

Dated:

New York, New York November 14, 2017